

Suite 2, Level 1, 33 Herbert Street, St Leonards NSW 2065

PO Box 292 ST LEONARDS NSW 1590

T 02 9438 5098

Flood Management Report

94-98 Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South, NSW 2136

Prepared for: Centuria Capital Limited Document no: NA241319

Revision no: 02





Disclaimer

This Report has been prepared in accordance with the scope of services described in the agreement between ACOR Consultants and the Client. The Report relies upon data, surveys, measurements and results based on instructions from, and in consultation with, the Client. Except as otherwise stated, ACOR Consultants has not attempted to verify the accuracy or completeness of any information provided by the Client. If the information is subsequently determined to be false, inaccurate or incomplete then it is possible that changes may be required to the Report. Changes in circumstances or facts, the passage of time, manifestation of latent conditions or impacts of future events may also impact on the accuracy, completeness or currency of the information or material set out in this Report. This Report has been prepared solely for use by the Client, ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd and its related body corporates accepts no responsibility for its use by any third parties without the specific authorisation of ACOR Consultants. ACOR Consultants reserves the right to alter, amend, discontinue, vary or otherwise change any information, material or service at any time without subsequent notification. All access to, or use of, the information or material is at the user's risk and ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd and its related body corporates accepts no responsibility for the results of any actions taken on the basis of information or material provided, nor for its accuracy, completeness or currency. For the reasons outlined above, however, no other warranty or guarantee, whether expressed or implied, is made as to the data, observations and findings expressed in this Report, to the extent permitted by law.

Revisions

Revision	Description	Date	Prepared by	Approved by
01	Issue for client review	25/07/2024	Rory Silk	Gregory Lyell
02	Issue for client review	02/10/2024	Elyse Liang	Gregory Lyell

Review Panel

Division/ office	Name
Sydney	Gregory Lyell

COPYRIGHT

This document, including the concepts and information contained within it, are the property of ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd or any of its related or associated entities. Use or copying of this document in whole or in part without the written permission of ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd constitutes an infringement of copyright. No part of this document may be copied, reproduced, adapted, transmitted or stored in a retrieval system in any form or by any means without written permission or unless otherwise permitted under the Copyright Act 1968. Removal or modification of any copyright or other proprietary protection from this document will be a breach of copyright.

© ACOR Consultants Pty Limited

All intellectual property and copyright reserved.



Table of Contents

1	Introd	uction	4
2	Site		4
3	The P	roposal	5
4	Flood	Characteristics	5
	4.1	1% AEP Flood Level	6
	4.2	PMF Level	6
	4.3	Flood Planning Level	7
	4.4	Flood Risk Precinct	7
	4.5	Hydraulic Category	7
5	Flood	Planning Requirements	7
	5.1	Freeboard for Equipment	7
6	Concl	usion	8

Appendices

Appendix A Proposed Architectural Plans	9
Appendix B Site Survey	10
Appendix C Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study	11
Appendix D NSW SES Reducing Vulnerability of Buildings to Flood Damage Section 6.3	12
Appendix E RFI Response from Strathfield Council	13

List of Figures

Figure 1: Site Aerial Map (Source: Nearmap, Ethos Urban)	4
Figure 2: 1% AEP Flood Extent (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)	6
Figure 3: 1% AEP Flood Depths (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)	6
Figure 4: PMF Flood Extent (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)	6
Figure 5: PMF Flood Depths (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)	6
Figure 6: Flood Risk Precinct (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)	7



1 Introduction

This Flood Management Report has been prepared for Centuria Capital Limited ('Centuria' or 'the Applicant') to support a Planning Proposal to amend the height of buildings and floor space ratio development standards under the *Strathfield Local Environmental Plan 2012* (Strathfield LEP 2012) on land identified as 94-98 Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South (the site).

The Planning Proposal will enable the future development of a state-of-the-art three-level warehouse and distribution centre that responds to industrial and logistics market demands and trends. It will deliver modern and in-demand warehouse and distribution floorspace in a location highly accessible to Sydney's key trade gateways of Port Botany and Sydney Airport. It will also support the capacity and future growth of jobs and warehouse and distribution floorspace, as well as the retention of industrial zoned land within the existing employment precinct.

In the preparation of this report, ACOR has relied upon certain data and information contained within the following documents:

- Architectural drawings prepared by NettletonTribe (SEP 2024) refer to Annexure A Proposed Architectural Plans
- Site Survey prepared by Land Partners (28 MAR 2024) refer to Annexure B
- Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study prepared by WMA Water for Strathfield Municipal Council (26 OCT 2010) – refer to Annexure C
- NSW State Emergency Service (SES) Reducing Vulnerability of Buildings to Flood Damage Section 6.3 (N.D.) – refer to Annexure D
- RFI response from Strathfield Council (09 JULY 2024) refer to Annexure E

The purpose of this report is to support an Indicative Reference Scheme that will be the basis of the Planning Proposal and provide Strathfield Municipal Council with sufficient information to determine potential impacts associated with the Indicative Reference Scheme located within the flood-affected land and assess the scheme in compliance with Council requirements.

2 Site

The site is identified as 94-98 Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South within the Strathfield local government area (LGA). It comprises a singular lot legally described as Lot 100 DP 862635 with a total area of 43,100m² and street frontages to Cosgrove Road to the west, Madeline Street to the east and Hope Street to the south.

The site is located within an established industrial precinct zoned E4 General Industrial, and sits adjacent to the Enfield Intermodal Logistics Centre to the west operated by NSW Ports. Its immediate surrounding context comprises industrial land uses with sports and recreation fields, and residential areas further to the south.



I ne Site

Figure 1: Site Aerial Map (Source: Nearmap, Ethos Urban)



The Indicative Reference Scheme is categorised as industrial development use. It will involve the demolition of the existing structures on the site and the construction of a three-storey warehouse.

This report will assess the flood impact of the Indicative Reference Scheme on the site.

3 The Proposal

The Planning Proposal seeks to enable the future development of a multi-level warehouse through the following amendments to the Strathfield LEP 2012 for the site:

- Amendment to the Height of Buildings Map from 12m to 35m; and
- Amendment to the Floor Space Ratio Map from 1:1 to 1.6:1.

The Planning Proposal also seeks to amend the Strathfield Consolidated Development Control Plan 2005 (Strathfield DCP) to include a new Site-Specific DCP for the site. It is noted that no physical works are proposed, with the Planning Proposal limited to the amendment of planning controls for the site only.

The Planning Proposal is accompanied by an Indicative Reference Scheme prepared by Nettletontribe Architects that demonstrates a suitable built form, urban design and landscape outcome can be achieved within the proposed amendments to the Strathfield LEP 2012 and Strathfield DCP. The Indicative Reference Scheme comprises a multi-level warehouse and distribution centre that includes:

- Three (3) levels with a centrally located hardstand area positioned between two warehouse forms;
- A total gross floor area (GFA) of approximately 69,000m2 comprising warehouse or distribution centre and ancillary office floorspace;
- Heavy vehicle access from Cosgrove Road and ramps in the northern portion of the site;
- On-site car parking; and
- Landscaping along the street frontages to Cosgrove Road, Hope Street and Madeline Street.

4 Flood Characteristics

The site is located within the Cooks Rivers catchment area. The site is only partially inundated during the PMF event and isn't affected during the 1% AEP flood events.

The Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study (WMA Water, 2010) was obtained from Strathfield Council for the Indicative Reference Scheme, which provides flood data relating to the Cooks River at it's crossing with Punchbowl Road/Coronation Parade within the Strathfield LGA.

A summary of key data from the Council flood information report has been provided in Table 1.

Table 1: Council Flood Information Report Summary

Flood Information	The Site
1% AEP Flood Depth	<0.3 m
PMF Flood Depth	0.3 - 0.5 m
1% AEP Hydraulic Hazard	Low Hazard

Based on two previous flood studies and Council's flood information report, the site flood characteristics of the site have been identified as follows:



4.1 1% AEP Flood Level

The 1% AEP Cooks River catchment rainfall event produces a maximum water level of 13.00m AHD, causing inundation near the site of less than 0.30m and doesn't inundate the site itself. During the 1% event, all streets surrounding the site are categorised as low hydraulic hazard floodways. The site itself has no hazard and is defined as "flood fringe".



Figure 2: 1% AEP Flood Extent (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)



Figure 3: 1% AEP Flood Depths (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)

4.2 PMF Level

The PMF Cooks River catchment rainfall event produces a maximum water level of 16.00m AHD in the South-Western corner and 13.00m AHD in the South-Eastern corner of the site, causing inundation of 0.30-0.50m on the site. During the PMF event, the hydraulic hazard level remains low, and the southern corners of the site become a part of the floodway with Cosgrove Street, Hope Street, and Madeline Street.



Figure 4: PMF Flood Extent (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)



Figure 5: PMF Flood Depths (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)



4.3 Flood Planning Level

Strathfield Council has an Interim Flood Prone Land Policy (The Policy), adopted by Council in 1999. The Policy mandates that all developments must be designed so that floor levels are above the external 1% AEP flood level.

While the site is not inundated during the 1% AEP flood event, setting the floor levels of the building to be above the 1% AEP should be undertaken. This results in a flood planning level (FPL) of the 1% AEP flood level equating to **13.00 m AHD**. This is lower than the existing levels on site which range from 14.00 to 14.60 m AHD.

4.4 Flood Risk Precinct

The site has been assessed as Low Risk during the 1% AEP flood event.



Figure 6: Flood Risk Precinct (Source: Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study)

4.5 Hydraulic Category

The site is categorised as flood fringe, while the surrounding roads are categorised as floodways. No area of the study was categorised as a flood storage area.

5 Flood Planning Requirements

The site is not subject to Strathfield Council's flooding requirements, which do not apply to industrial lots. Instead, ACOR Consultants has been referred by Council to use the controls outlined in *Reducing Vulnerability of Buildings to Flood Damage: Guidance on Building in Flood Prone Areas*. Further, Council has noted that freeboard is not necessary for non-habitable lots, however, electrical, data, and fuel equipment must be designed to prevent this equipment from becoming damaged or dislodged during a flood event to protect life and other properties.

5.1 Freeboard for Equipment

Section 6.3 – Electrical Services (SES NSW, N.D.) has been used to determine the flood planning requirements for any equipment that could become damaged or dislodged during flooding.

Equipment should be located above the maximum flood level plus 0.50 meters of freeboard in accordance with SES suggestions that the most effective solution is elevation of electrical components to the highest practical level. Flooding on site occurs at 13.10m AHD in the northeast corner during the PMF event. Electrical, data and fuel equipment should be located at 13.60m AHD to prevent damage and dislodgement to the equipment.



6 Conclusion

Based on available information, the Indicative Reference Scheme has been assessed against the NSW SES flood planning guidelines – *Reducing Vulnerability of Building to Flood Damage.*

The subject is assessed as low hazard during PMF flooding event.

It is anticipated that PMF floodwater impact the site at elevation 13.50m AHD, causing minimal inundation along the site's eastern boundary with Madeline Street. The site is not inundated during the 1% AEP flood event.

There is no FPL required for industrial lots in Strathfield Council, however ACOR recommend that the floor level of the building is set above the 1% AEP flood level of 13.00 mAHD.

ACOR is of the view that the flood affectation is to be considered negligible in terms of flood storage, changes of flood levels, and velocities.

We trust the above complies with Strathfield Council's flood requirements upon the Indicative Reference Scheme. If there are any queries or comments to discuss further, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours faithfully,

ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd

hjhjill

Gregory Lyell Civil Team Leader CPEng, NER, APEC Engineer, IntPE(AUS)



Appendix A Proposed Architectural Plans

\\NEWPROJ1\Projects\NA24\NA241319\Reports\CIV\NA241319 Flood Risk Management Plan_R02.docx

02 DESIGN PROPOSAL **PLANS - SITE** SITE PLAN





SITE AREA ZONE FSR HEIGHT LIMIT 43100 sqm E4 GENERAL IN 1:1 12m

GFA AREA SUMMARY SQM

WAREHOUSE 1	7,900
OFFICE 1a	550
OFFICE 1b	550
WAREHOUSE 2	12,185
OFFICE 2a	550
OFFICE 2b	550
WAREHOUSE 3	7,900
OFFICE 3a	550
OFFICE 3b	550
WAREHOUSE 4	13,775
OFFICE 4a	550
OFFICE 4b	550
WAREHOUSE 5	7,900
OFFICE 5a	550
OFFICE 5b	550
WAREHOUSE 6	13,640
OFFICE 6a	550
OFFICE 6b	550
TOTAL WAREHOUSE GFA	63,300
TOTAL OFFICE GFA	6,600
TOTAL BUILDING GFA	69,900
SITE COVERAGE	1.6:1
CAR PARKING REQUIRED	343
CAR PARKING PROVIDED	357
NOT	
NOTE	D
1.CAR PARKING REQUIREMENTS BASED ON STRATHFIEL CONSOLIDATED DEVELOPMENT CONTROL PLAN	U

- WAREHOUSE: 1 PER 300m2
- OFFICE: 1 PER 50m2

2. GROSS FLOOR AREA (GFA) AS DEFINED BY STRATHFIELD LEP2012 (EXCLUDING LOADING ZONES)

02 DESIGN PROPOSAL SITE CONTROLS & BUILDING ENVELOPE



LEGEND

	BUILDING SETBACK
	LANDSCAPE SETBACK
	ACOUSTIC SCREEN
\bigcirc	PROPOSED NEW TREES
	EXISTING RETAINED TREES



02 DESIGN PROPOSAL TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT



LEGEND



TRUCKS PATH

CARS PATH



02 DESIGN PROPOSAL PLANS - LOWER GROUND





02 DESIGN PROPOSAL PLANS - GROUND FLOOR





02 DESIGN PROPOSAL



Rev G



02 DESIGN PROPOSAL





02 DESIGN PROPOSAL









N



Appendix B Site Survey

\\NEWPROJ1\Projects\NA24\NA241319\Reports\CIV\NA241319 Flood Risk Management Plan_R02.docx



30m SCALE 1:500 (A1)

CLIENT

CENTURIA CAPITAL LIMITED

PROJECT

DETAIL SURVEY OF LOT 100 IN DP862635 (BEING 94-98 COSGROVE RD, STRATHFIELD SOUTH)

Ш

NOTES (i) This plan has been prepared for the exclusive use of CCL and their consultants for design purposes and is not to be used for any other purpose or by any other entity without the express permission of LandPartners Pty Ltd.

(ii) The title boundaries as shown hereon have not been investigated by us and have been compiled from plans on public record. (iii) Services shown hereon have been located where visible by field survey. If not able to be so located, services have been plotted from the records of relevant authorities where available and have been noted or symbolised accordingly. Where such records do not exist or are inadequate a notation has been made

(iv) Underground connections between services have been joined based on relevant authority records and have been symbolised accordingly. (v) Prior to any demolition, excavation or construction on the site, the relevant authority should be ontacted for possible location of further underground services and detailed locations of all services. (vi) This data should not be reproduced in any way without the permission of LandPartners Pty Ltd. Any reproduction of this data must contain these notes

1 OV 28/03/2024 INITIAL ISSUE SYM DESCRIPTION SYM DESCRIPTION AUSPOST BOX GAS MAIN A BENCHMARK I GAS METER I BIN GAS VALVE BOLLARD SEWER LAMPHOLE BOREHOLE SEWER MANHOLE BUS STOP SIGN SEWER VENT PIPE P FLAG POLE DPTICAL FIBRE MARKER ∞ GATE OPTICAL FIBRE PIT MAIL BOX TELECOM DIST PILLAR SEAT TELECOM POLE UNKNOWN SERVICE TELECOM SINGLE PIT DRAINAGE GULLY PIT TELECOM TWIN PIT DRAINAGE MANHOLE TRAFFIC JUNCTION BOX ELEC FUSE BOX -X- TRAFFIC LIGHT → TRAFFIC SIGN ELEC GARDEN LIGHT TRAF SIGNAL CONTROLLER ELEC GREEN PILLAR SHRUB ELEC LIGHT POLE TREE ELEC POLE&TRANSFORMER WATER AIR VALVE ELEC POWER POLE WATER HYDRANT ELEC SINGLE PIT WATER METER O ELEC STAY POLE WATER PUMP ELEC TWIN PIT WATER STOP VALVE FUEL DIP 🔀 📈 WATER TAP

Symbols shown are indicative only. The symbol size and orientation does not necessarily represent the real size or orientation of the feature.

DRAINAGE PIPE U/G	sw sw	- sw	— sw ——
DRAIN	D D	– D ———	D
ELECT. CABLE A/G		- ₩	- ₩
ELEC. CABLE U/G	———— E ———— E ————	- E	E
GAS PIPE	GAS GAS	- GAS	— GAS ——
FENCE LINE	///_	/	/
SEWERAGE PIPE	s s	- s	s ———
TELSTRA CABLE	T T	– т ——	т ———
WATER PIPE	w w	– w —	w ———



Sydney Office Level 2 23-29 South Street Rydalmere NSW 2116 PO Box 1144 Dundas NSW 2117

RI

N/A

UDN

FIELD FILE

p: (02) 9685 2000 e: info@landpartners.com.au w: www.landpartners.com.au

DATE

27/03/2024

SHEET 1 OF 01

©LANDPARTNERS 2024



AHD STRATHFIELD COUNCIL SCALE 1:500 (A1) LEVEL ORIGIN SSM69335 RL.15.62 CONTOUR INTERVAL 0.2 Metre MERIDIAN DRAWN DATE CO-ORD SYSTEM GDA 2020 OV 25/03/2024 SURVEYOR DATE OF SURVEY CHECKED DATE 20/03/24 27/03/2024 GR

APPROVED

GR

SY076027.000.8.1



Appendix C Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study







Appendix D NSW SES Reducing Vulnerability of Buildings to Flood Damage Section 6.3

Some non-traditional floor coverings that perform well are:

- rubber flooring,
- · epoxy, and
- cementitious self-levelling toppings when used over concrete.

Toppings over timber should be avoided as they slow the drying process.

Hardboard and ply underlays are not recommended over timber flooring for the same reasons.

Linoleum backed with hessian is most likely to shrink and cannot be reused while vinyl and rubber sheet can usually be lifted and reused.

6.2.4 Comparative Costs

The cost of floor finishes varies widely and needs to be added to the cost of the floor structure and sheeting to get meaningful comparisons.

The cost of a sanded and polished floor is approximately \$50/m².

The cost of wall to wall carpet ranges from \$35-\$60/m² laid.

Floor tiling costs in the range of \$80–\$90/m² laid depending on the cost of the tiles.

6.3 ELECTRICAL SERVICES

6.3.1 Problems

Inundation of electrical system components such as meters, fuses, circuit breakers, surge protectors, switches, power points and wiring can cause short-circuits, damage to components, corrosion, malfunction and the possibility of electric shocks.

In items with mechanical operations such as circuit breakers and switches, inundation can affect the overall operation of the mechanism through the presence of silt, the loss of lubricants and subsequent corrosion.

6.3.2 Design Suggestions

The most effective flood-resistant option for electrical systems in new buildings in flood prone areas is elevation of electrical components to the highest practical or regulatory level.

In some cases major items such as switchboards and meter boxes, which contain easily damaged and expensive to repair or replace items, could be relocated to the upper floor or located higher under the eaves of single-storey houses to gain extra protection. However, it is normal for electricity suppliers to want the meter located close to the ground so it is readily accessible for their inspection and reading. Accordingly,



Figure 110 Elevated switchboards and meterboxes

it is desirable to provide appropriate access to the upper floor or, for single-storey houses, to provide a separate raised platform with stairs. The electricity supplier and local council should be consulted to check on any requirements they may have. In addition, individual components should be located as high as possible within the meter box or switchboard, perhaps by making the box wider rather than taller, (Figure 110).

Where possible, house wiring should be located in the roof space and extend down the wall rather than being located in the slab or under suspended floors. Although power points are relatively inexpensive to replace, consideration could be given to raising power points on the wall to reduce the chance of inundation.

It is normal that during severe flooding the mains electrical supply to the house will be cut either intentionally or due to tripping of the mains circuit breakers. In two-storey houses it is worth considering having the lighting and power on each level on separate circuits. During recovery this could allow the damaged lower level to remain disconnected whilst maintaining supply to the upper level if only the lower level is flooded. The advantage is that the upper floors could be reoccupied whilst repairs are undertaken on the ground floor.

Expensive fixed electrical equipment, such as air-conditioners and electric hot water systems, could be mounted high to reduce the chance of inundation.

Where possible, all cable runs should be of one length. If junction boxes are unavoidable, they should be located in easily accessible, yet elevated, locations.

Conduits should be installed in such a manner to ensure any water will drain freely as the floodwaters recede. Similarly, where the mains supply is located underground, it should be installed to ensure that water can drain from the conduit. Sag points in any conduits should be avoided.

6.3.3 Material Selection

For obvious reasons, electrical components such as wiring junction boxes, conduits etc. are made from materials which are stable and durable to ensure safe and reliable service over the long term.

While these materials are unaffected by immersion, the connections and switches can be affected and therefore compromise the insulation and safe operation.

Some electrical fittings may be reusable after cleaning and drying, but the majority would require replacement after flooding.

6.3.4 Comparative Costs

Correctly installed, electrical wiring should survive inundation. However switches, power points and lights are likely to need replacing. As these are relatively easy to replace and it is difficult to justify using more water resistant components which would be much more expensive. Power points should cost less than \$500 to replace.

Main switchboard components will require replacement if inundated. Typically it could cost around \$600 to replace the switchboard components and the best option is to raise the board as high as allowable by the supplier.

6.4 SEWERAGE SYSTEMS

6.4.1 Problems

There are two main problems associated with sewerage systems during flooding.

- the back-up of sewage into houses, and
- damage to the system components such as floating or collapsed septic tanks, broken pipes, damaged pumps and electrical systems.

Although floodwaters which typically enter the house can contain sewage, it is normally very dilute. However, back-up of the sewerage system



Appendix E RFI Response from Strathfield Council



RE: Flood Planning Requirements for Industrial Zoned Lots

From Heath Fayad <heath.fayad@strathfield.nsw.gov.au> Date Tue 9/07/2024 11:33 AM

To Rory Silk <RSilk@acor.com.au>

Although Council documents do not cover industrial developments, it is Council's aim to protect life and properties from stormwater flooding. As the development is of non-habitable nature, there is no requirement for freeboard. However, there is a concern regarding electrical, data, and fuel equipment that may get damaged or may cause damage or danger to people or the environment if it was damaged or became dislodged by flood waters. Council looks at best practice methods to prevent accidents. You are referred to document "Reducing Vulnerability of buildings to flood damage", Guidance on building in Flood Prone areas,(section 6.3 for electrical equipment).



From: Rory Silk <RSilk@acor.com.au>
Sent: Wednesday, June 26, 2024 11:56 AM
To: Strathfield Municipal Council <council@strathfield.nsw.gov.au>
Cc: Gregory Lyell <GLyell@acor.com.au>
Subject: Flood Planning Requirements for Industrial Zoned Lots

Hi,

We're preparing a Flood Risk Management Report for an industrial lot at 94-98 Cosgrove Road, Strathfield South and are unable to located Council's flood planning requirements for industrial lots, noting that the Interim Flood Prone Land Policy only refers to residential developments.

We've read through Council's DCP and the Cooks River and Cox Creek Flood Study but couldn't find advice relating to Council's flood planning level for industrial lots.

For your information, based on the Cooks River flood study, the site is minimally affected during the 1% and PMF flooding event, with only low hazard flooding occurring on the southern boarder of the lot.

Kind Regards,

Rory Silk Undergraduate Engineer - Civil

ACOR Consultants Pty Ltd

Suite 2, Level 1, 33 Herbert St St Leonards NSW 2065 T 02 9438 5098 | E <u>RSilk@acor.com.au</u>



ACOR acknowledges the Cammeraygal People as the Traditional Custodians of Country where we live and work, and their ongoing connection to the land, waters, and community. We pay our respects to Elders, past and present.

www.acor.com.au | Careers





This email and any files transmitted with it are intended solely for the use of the addressee(s) and may contain information that is confidential or subject to privilege. If you receive this email and you are not the intended addressee, any copying, distribution or use of this email is prohibited. Please notify the sender immediately and disregard and delete the email (including any attachments). ACOR does not warrant that this email is error or virus free. If you have any concerns about the contents of this email (including any hyperlinks or attachments) please contact the sender personally prior to accessing the content. If this email contains a private communication containing personal opinions of the sender and/or the person with whom the sender is communicating, it may not represent the views of ACOR and is not endorsed by ACOR. Subject to any pre-existing agreement, ACOR retains all intellectual property in the literary or artistic works (including any designs and concepts) within this email.

Disclaimer: This transmission is intended for the addressee named and may contain confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient of the transmission, please delete the transmission and notify the sender. The contents of the transmission are the opinion of the individual sender, and are not necessarily endorsed by Strathfield Municipal Council.